

SUGGESTED SOLUTION

INTERMEDIATE N'18 EXAM

SUBJECT- COSTING

Test Code – PIN 5038

BRANCH - () (Date :)

Head Office : Shraddha, 3rd Floor, Near Chinai College, Andheri (E), Mumbai – 69. Tel : (022) 26836666

ANSWER-A

(i) EOQ = $\sqrt{\frac{2AO}{C}}$

A = Annual consumption = $\frac{96000 \text{ units } \times 1 \text{kg.}}{4 \text{ units}}$ = 24000 kgs.

O = Cost of placing order = Handling cost + Freight = Rs. 1,500 + Rs.4,000 = Rs.5,500

C = Carrying cost per kg. per annum

Carrying cost (Rs.1.50 \times 12) = Rs.18

Finance charges on investment in inventory = Rs.8

<u>Rs.26</u>

 $EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 24000 \ kgs. \ \times Rs.5500}{Rs.26}} = 3186.5 \ kgs.$

- (ii) Number of orders = 24,000 kgs./ 3,186.5 kgs. = 7.53 or 8 orders
 Frequency in placing orders = 365 days / 8 orders = 45.63 or
 46 days
- (iii) If company places orders on quarterly basis, percentage of discount in price of raw material to be negotiated:

Cost under EOQ:

Ordering cost	8 orders × Rs. 5,500	44,000.00
Carrying cost	3,186.5kgs. × ½ × Rs.26	41,424.50
Total		85,424.50

Cost under Ordering on Quarterly Basis:

Ordering cost	4 orders × Rs.5,500	22,000.00
Carrying cost	(24,000 kgs./ 4 orders) × ½ × Rs.26	78,000.00
Total		1,00,000.00

Incremental cost if orders are placed on quarterly basis

= Rs.1,00,000– Rs. 85,424.50 = Rs. 14,575.50

Reduction in purchase price to be negotiated

= Rs.14,575.50 ÷ 24,000 kgs. = Rs.0.61 per kg.

Percentage of discount to be negotiated $0.61 \div 54 \times 100 = 1.13\%$

(5 MARKS)

ANSWER-B

(5 MARKS)

Workings:

Let us assume that the selling price before increment is Rs.100, the other relevant details are as follows:

Particulars	Before increase	After increase
Selling Price	100	110
Variable Cost	60	63
Contribution	40	47
P/V Ratio	40%	42.73%

(i) Computation of Break-even point sales:

Break-even point sales = $\frac{fixed \ overheads}{Profit \ volume \ ratio}$ - Before increase = $\frac{RS.20,00,000}{40\%} = Rs.50,00,000$

- After increase = $\frac{RS.30,00,000}{42.73\%}$ = Rs. 70,20,828 (approx)

(i) Sales value to make a profit of Rs.4,50,000:

Fixed overheads + Desired profitProfit volume ratio

 $\frac{Rs.30,00,000+Rs.4,50,000}{42.73\%}$

= Rs.80,73,953

(5 MARKS)

(i) Optimum batch size or Economic Batch Quantity (EBQ):

$$\mathsf{EBQ} = \sqrt{\frac{2DS}{C}}$$

$$=\sqrt{\frac{2\times48000\times3200}{12}}=5060 \text{ units}$$

- (ii) Number of Optimum runs = 48,000 ÷ 5,060 = 9.49 or 10
 runs Interval between 2 runs (in days) = 365 days ÷ 10 = 36.5 days
- (iii) Minimum Inventory Cost = Average Inventory × Inventory Carrying Cost per unit per annum Average Inventory = 5,060 units ÷ 2 = 2,530 units
 Carrying Cost per unit per annum = Rs.1 × 12 months = Rs.12
 Minimum Inventory Holding Costs = 2,530 units × Rs. 12 = Rs.30,360

ANSWER-D

Labour Turnover Rate (Replacement method) = $\frac{no.of \ workers \ replaced}{average \ no.of \ workers} \times 100$

Or, 10/100 = 50/ average no. of workers

Thus, Average No. of workers = 500

Labour Turnover Rate (Separation method) = $\frac{no.of \ workers \ separated}{average \ no.of \ workers} \times 100$

Or, 5/100 = no. of workers separated/ 500

Thus, No. of workers separated = 25

Labour Turnover Rate (Flux Method)

 $\frac{no.\,of\,separations + no.\,of\,accession\,(joinings)}{average\,no.\,of\,workers} \times 100$

Or, 20/100 = 25 + no.of joinings / 500

Or, 100 (25 + No. of Accessions) = 10,000

Or, 25 + No. of Accessions =100

4 | Page

Thus, No. of Accessions = 100 - 25 = 75 Accordingly,

- (i) Workers recruited and Joined = 75
- (ii) Workers left and discharged = 25
- (iii) Average number of workers on roll = 500

ANSWER-2

ANSWER-A

Stores Ledger Control A/c

Particulars	(Rs.)	Particulars	(Rs.)
To Balance b/d	90,000	By Work in Process Control A/c	4,80,000
To General Ledger Adjustment A/c	4,80,000	By Overhead Control A/c	60,000
To Work in Process Control A/c	2,40,000	By Overhead Control A/c (Deficiency)	18,000*
		By Balance c/d	2,52,000
	8,10,000		8,10,000

*Deficiency assumed as normal (alternatively can be treated as abnormal loss)

(2 MARKS)

Work in Process Control A/c

Particulars	(Rs.)	Particulars	(Rs.)
To Balance b/d	1,80,000	By Stores Ledger Control A/c	2,40,000
To Stores Ledger Control A/c	4,80,000	By Costing P/L A/c (Balancing figures being Cost of finished goods)	12,00,000
To Wages Control A/c	1,80,000	By Balance c/d	1,20,000
To Overheads Control A/c	7,20,000		
	15,60,000		15,60,000

(3 MARKS)

Particulars	(Rs.)	Particulars	(Rs.)
To Stores Ledger Control A/c	60,000	By Work in Process Control A/c	7,20,000
To Stores Ledger Control A/c	18,000	By Balance c/d* (Under absorption)	1,38,000
To Wages Control A/c	30,000		
(Rs. 2,10,000- Rs.1,80,000)			
To Gen. Ledger Adjust. A/c	7,50,000		
	8,58,000		8,58,000

Overheads Control A/c

*Alternatively may be transferred to Costing P& L A/c

(2 MARKS)

Costing Profit & Loss A/c

5				
Particulars	(Rs.)	Particulars	(Rs.)	
To Work in Process Control A/c	12,00,000	By Gen. Ledger Adjust. A/c	13,20,000	
		(Sales) (12,00,000+1,20,000)		
To Gen. Ledger Adjust. A/c (Profit)	1,20,000			
	13,20,000		13,20,000	

General Ledger Adjustment A/c may also be written as Cost Ledger Control A/c

ANSWER-B

Operating Cost Sheet

Fixed Cost:		
Salaries 800 x 12	Rs. 9,600	
Gate-keepers 10 x 200 x 12	24,000	
Operators 2 x 400 x 12	9,600	
Clerks 4 x 250 x 12	12,000	
Administration Expenses	18,000	

(3 MARKS)

(10 MARKS)

Depreciation:	
Premises Rs. 6,00,000 ÷15	40,000
Projector and Equipment 3,20,000 x 0.10	<u>32,000</u>
Total Fixed Cost	<u>1,45,200</u>
Variable Costs:	
Electricity and oil	11,655
Carbon	7,235
Misc. expenses	5,425
Advertisements	34,710
Hire of print	<u>1,40,700</u>
Total variable costs	<u>1,99,725</u>
Total cost	3,44,925
Add: 30% return on gross proceeds or 3/7 of cost	<u>1,47,825</u>
Gross Proceed	<u>4,92,750</u>
Total man-shows (refer to calculation below)	<u>9,85,500</u>
Cost per man-show	Re.0.50
Rate for each class:	
Janata cost per man-show x weightage i.e., 0.50 x 1 = Re. 0.50	
Sanman cost per man-show x weightage i.e., 0.50 x 2 = Re. 1.00	
Lord's cost per man-show x weightage i.e., 0.50 x 3 = Rs. 1.50	
Computation of man-shows :	
No. of seats : Janata	= 250 seats
Sanman circle	= 250 seats
Lord's circle	= 125 seats
With weightage (i.e., express all seats in terms of Janata)	

Janat	ta 250 x 1 =	250 seats	
Sanm	nan circle 250 x 2 =	500 seats	
Lord	's circle 125 x 3 =	375 seats	
		<u>1,125 seats</u>	
No. c	of shows: 3		
∴ То	tal weighted seats = 1,125 x 3 =	3,375 seats	
Less	: 20% vacant seats	<u>675</u>	
		<u>2,700</u>	
Man	-shows per annum = 2,700 x 365 =	<u>9,85,500</u>	
Note	s :		
1.	Management expects 30% return on gross proceeds		
	Gross Proceeds	100	
	Return 30%	<u>30</u>	
	Cost	<u>70</u>	

It means relation to return to cost = 3/7

2. In this question, it is necessary to understand weightage concept. Whenever weightage is given, express the items having higher weightage in terms of item having lowest weightage so that all items can be expressed equally.

ANSWER-3

ANSWER-A

(i) Production Budget for January to March 2014 (Quantitative)

	Jan	Feb	Mar	April
Budgeted Sales	10,000	12,000	14,000	15,000
Add: Budgeted Closing Stock	2,400	2,800	3,000	3,000

(20% of sales of next month)	12,400	14,800	17,000	18,000
	2,700	2,400	2,800	3,000
<i>Less</i> : Opening Stock Budgeted Output	9,700	12,400	14,200	15,000

Total Budgeted Output for the Quarter ended March 31, 2014

= (9,700 + 12,400 + 14,200)= 36,300 units.

(3 MARKS)

Budgeted Output Month Material 'X' @ 4 Material 'Y' @ 6 (Units) kg per unit kg per unit (Kg) (Kg) January 58,200 9,700 38,800 February 12,400 49,600 74,400 March 14,200 85,200 56,800 April 15,000 60,000 90,000 Total 2,05,200 3,07,800

Raw Material Consumption Budget (in quantity)

(3 MARKS)

Raw Materials Purchase Budget for the Quarter ended March 31, 2014(in quantity)

	Material X (kg)	Material Y (kg)
Raw material required for production	1,45,200	2,17,800
Add: Closing Stock of raw material	30,000	45,000
	1,75,200	2,62,800
	19,000	29,000
<i>Less</i> : Opening Stock of raw material Material to be purchased	1,56,200	2,33,800

(2 MARKS)

Calculation of Material Cost Variance

(4 MARKS)

(a)	(b)
Std Price × Std Mix × Std Qty for	Std. Price × Std. Mix × Actual Qty.
actual output	X-10 × 4/10 X 4,03,000 = 1612000
$X - 10 \times 4 \times 40,000 = 16,00,000$	
Y – 15 × 6 × 40,000 = 36,00,000	Y-15 X 6/10 X 4,03,000 = 36,27,000
<u>52,00,000</u>	<u>52,39,000</u>

(c)	(d)
Std Price × Actual Mix × Actual Qty	Actual Price × Actual Mix × Actual Qty.
X - 10 × 1,65,000 = 16,50,000	$X - 10.20 \times 1,65,000 = 16,83,000$
$Y - 15 \times 2,38,000 = \underline{35,70,000}$	Y – 15.10 × 2,38,000 <u>35,93,800</u>
52,20,000	<u>52,76,800</u>

Direct Material Usage Variance = (a - c)

X - 16,00,000 - 16,50,000 = 50,000 (A)

Y - 36,00,000 - 35,70,000 = 30,000 (F)

52,00,000 - 52,20,000 = 20,000 (A)

Direct Material Price Variance = (c – d)

X - 16,50,000 - 16,83,000 = 33,000 (A)

Y - 35,70,000 - 35,93,800 = 23,800 (A)

52,20,000 - 52,76,800 = 56,800 (A)

Direct Material Cost Variance = (a – d)

X - 16,00,000 - 16,83,000 = 83,000 (A)

Y - 36,00,000 - 35,93,800 = 6,200(F)

52,00,000 - 52,76,800 = 76,800 (A)

Verification:

Variance

= 20,000 (A) + 56,800 (A) = 76,800 (A)

Alternative Solution (Total basis)

Direct Material Cost Variance = 52, 00,000 - 52, 76,800 = 76,800 (A)

Direct Material Price Variance = 52, 20,000 - 52, 76,800 = 56,800 (A)

Direct Material Usage Variance = 52, 20,000 - 52, 00,000 = 20,000 (A)

Calculation of Labour Cost Variances:

Budgeted output for the quarter = 36,300 units Budgeted direct labour hours = $36,300 \times \frac{3}{4}$ hrs.

= 27,225 hours

Standard or Budgeted labour rate per hour

= budgeted direct labour cost budgeted direct labour hours

= Rs. 1089000/ 27225 hours

= Rs. 40

Standard labour hours for actual output:

= 40,000 units × 3/4 hour

= 30,000 hours

Actual labour hour rate = Rs. 1312000/ 32000 hours = Rs. 41

Direct Labour Efficiency Variance = Standard Rate × (Std. hrs – Actual hrs.)

= Rs. 80,000 (A)

Direct Labour Rate Variance = Actual hrs. × (Std. Rate – Actual Rate)

 $= 32,000 \times (40 - 41)$

Direct Labour Cost Variance = (Std. rate × Std. hrs.) – (Actual rate × Actual hrs.)

	= (40 × 30,000) – (41 × 32,000))		
	= 12,00,000 - 13,12,000			
	= 1,12,000 (A)			
	Verification:			
	Direct Labour Cost Variance = Direct Labour Efficiency Variance	Variance + Di	rect Labour Ra	ate
	= Rs.80,000 (A) + Rs.32,000 (A)	A)		
	= 1,12,000 (A)			
ANSV	VER-B		(8	MARKS)
(a)	Contribution per unit = Rs. 30.00 - (6.00 + 7.50 + 2.50)=		Rs. 14	
	Contribution for the month = 5,200 x Rs. 14 =		Rs. 72,800	
	Less: Fixed Cost	=	<u>27,400</u>	
	Marginal Costing Profit		<u>45,400</u>	
(b)	Sales 5,200 x Rs. 30	=	Rs. 1,56,000	
Less: \	/ariable Cost			
Mater	ial 5,200x 6 =	Rs. 31,200		
Labou	r 5,200x Rs. 7.50	39,000		
Variat	le OH 5,200x Rs. 2.50	<u>13,000</u>		
Total	variable overhead		(83,200)	
Fixed	overhead 5,200 x Rs. 5		(26,000)	
Over-a	absorbed overhead (Refer to Note)		<u>1,600</u>	
Absor	otion Costing Profit		<u>48,400</u>	

Note:

Overhead absorbed 5,800 x Rs. 5	= Rs. 29,000	
Overhead incurred	<u>27,400</u>	
Over-absorbed overhead	<u>1,600</u>	

ANSWER-A

Particulars		Rs.	Particulars		Rs.
To Materials issued		90,000	By Material sold		18,125
To wages paid	75,000		By plant sold		2,875
Add : Outstanding	6,250	81,250	By plant at site c/d		7,750
To plant		25,000	By Material at site c/c	I	4,250
To sundry expenses	7,250		By work – in – Progres	ss c/d	
Less : Prepaid	625	6,625	Work certified	2,18,750	
To Establishment charges		14,625	(Rs. 1,75,000 ÷ 80%)		
To costing P & L A/c.		3,125	Work uncertified	27,375	2,46,125
(Rs. 18,125 – Rs. 15,000)					
To Notional Profit (Profit f year	or the	58,500			
		2,79,125			2,79,125

Calculation of Estimated Profit

			Rs.	Rs.
1)	Material consumed	(90,000 + 3,125 – 18,125)	75,000	
	Add: Further consumption		85,750	1,60,750
2)	Wages:		81,250	
	Add : Further cost	(87,325 – 6,250)	81,075	
	Add : Outstanding		8,300	1,70,625
3)	Plant used	(25,000 – 2,875)	22,125	
	Add: Further plant introduced		31,250	
	Less : Closing balance of plant		(3,750)	49,625
4)	Establishment charges		14,625	
	Add : Further charges for nine months	(14,625× 9/12)	10,969	25,594
5)	Sundry expenses		7,250	
	Add : Further expenses		6,875	14,125

(5 MARKS)

6)	Reserve for contingencies		10,800	
	Estimated profit	(balancing figure)	68,481	
	Contract price		5,00,000	

(i) Statement Showing "Cost *per unit* - Traditional Method"

Particulars of Costs	Р	Q	R
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)	(Rs.)
Direct Materials	90	80	120
Direct Labour [(4, 12, 8 hours) X Rs.20]	80	240	160
Production Overheads [(10, 18, 14 hours) X Rs.6]	60	108	84
Cost <i>per unit</i>	230	428	364

(2 MARKS)

(5 MARKS)

(ii) Statement Showing "Cost *per unit* - Activity Based Costing"

Products	Р	Q	R
Production (units)	3,000	5,000	20,000
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)	(Rs.)
Direct Materials (90, 80, 120)	2,70,000	4,00,000	24,00,000
Direct Labour (80, 240, 160)	2,40,000	12,00,000	32,00,000
Machine Related Costs @ Rs.1.80 per hour			
(30,000, 90,000, 2,80,000)	54,000	1,62,000	5,04,000
Setup Costs @ Rs.9,600 per setup (20, 10, 20)	1,92,000	96,000	1,92,000
Inspection Costs @ Rs.4,800 per inspection			
(100, 40, 60)	4,80,000	1,92,000	2,88,000
Purchase Related Costs @ Rs.750 per purchase			
(60, 100, 160)	45,000	75,000	1,20,000
Total Costs	12,81,000	21,25,000	67,04,000
Cost <i>per unit</i> (Total Cost X Units)	427.00	425.00	335.20
		1 1	(3 MARK

Workings

Number of Batches, Purchase Orders, and Inspections-

	Particulars	Р	Q	R	Total
А.	Production (units)	3,000	5,000	20,000	
B.	Batch Size (units)	150	500	1,000	
C.	Number of Batches [A / B]	20	10	20	50
D.	Number of Purchase Order per batch	3	10	8	
E.	Total Purchase Orders [C X D]	60	100	160	320
F.	Number of Inspections per batch	5	4	3	
G.	Total Inspections [C X F]	100	40	60	200

Total Machine Hours-

	Particulars		Р	Q	R
Α.	Machine Hours <i>per unit</i>		10	18	14
В.	Production (units)		3,000	5,000	20,000
C.	Total Machine Hours	[A X B]	30,000	90,000	2,80,000

Total Machine Hours = 4,00,000

Total Production Overheads-

= 4,00,000 hrs. X Rs. 6 = Rs. 24,00,000

Cost Driver Rates-

Cost Pool	%	Overheads	Cost Driver	Cost Driver Rate
		(Rs.)	(Units)	(Rs.)
Setup	20%	4,80,000	50	9,600 per Setup
Inspection	40%	9,60,000	200	4,800 per Inspection
Purchases	10%	2,40,000	320	750 per Purchase
Machine Hours	30%	7,20,000	4,00,000	1.80 per Machine Hour

ANSWER-A

1. Statement of Equivalent Production (FIFO Method)

Inp	Input Outp ut		Equivalent Production			on	
Particulars	Units	Particul	Units	M	aterial	Labour &	Overheads
		ars		(%)	Units	(%)	Units
Opening WIP	8,000	Transfer to next Process:					
Introduced	1,82,000	Openin g WIP comple ted	8,000			40	3,200
		Introd uced & compl eted	1,50,000	100	1,50,000	100	1,50,000
		Normal loss 10% (8,000 + 182,000)	19,000				
		Abnormal gain	(5,000)	100	(5,000)	100	(5,000)
		Closing WIP	18,000	100	18,000	70	12,600
	1,90,000		1,90,000		1,63,000		1,60,800

(5 MARKS)

(ii) Computation of Cost per unit

Particulars	Materials (Rs.)	Labour (Rs.)	Overhea d (Rs.)
Input of Materials	1,47,50,000		
Expenses		68,12,000	34,06,000

Total	1,47,50,000	68,12,000	34,06,000
<i>Less</i> : Sale of Scrap (19,000 units × Rs.15)	(2,85,000)		
Net cost	1,44,65,000	68,12,000	34,06,000
Equivalent Units	1,63,000	1,60,800	1,60,800
Cost Per Unit	88.7423	42.3632	21.1816

Total cost per unit = Rs. (88.7423+42.3632+21.1816) = Rs.152.2871

(2 MARKS)

(iii) Value of units transferred to next process:

	Amount	Amount
	(Rs.)	(Rs.)
Opening W-I-P	15,00,000.00	
Add: Labour (3,200 units × Rs. 42.3632)	1,35,562.24	
Overhead (3,200 units × Rs. 21.1816)	67,781.12	17,03,343.36
New introduced (1,50,000 units × Rs. 152.2871)		2,28,43,065.00
		2,45,46,408.36

(3 MARKS)

ANSWER-B

(10 MARKS)

Budgeted Cost Sheet for the year 2014 (Amount Particulars Rs.) Direct material consumed 12,00,000 Add: 44% due to increased 5,28,000 output 17,28,000 1,03,680 Less: 6% for decline in 16,24,320 7,00,000 price Direct wages 4,20,000

(manufacturing) Add:			11,20,000
60% increase			27,44,320
Prime cos	t		
Manufactured Overhead:			
Fixed	3,60,000		
Add: 20% increase	72,000		
		4,32,000	
Variable	2,50,000		
Add: 60% increase	<u>1,50,000</u>	4,00,000	8,32,000
			35,76,320
Cost of			3,97,369
production Add: 1/9 of Cost or 10% on			39,73,689
selling price			
Selling price			

Production will increase by 60% but efficiency will decline by 10%.

160 – 10% of 160 = 144%

So increase by 44%.

Note: If we consider that variable overhead once will change because of increase in production (From Rs. 2,50,000 to Rs. 4,00,000) then with efficiency declining by 10% it shall be Rs. 3,60,000 and then again as mentioned in point No. (iii) of this question it will increase by 60% then variable overhead shall be Rs.3,60,000 X 160% = Rs. 5,76,000. Hence, total costs shall be Rs.37,52,320 and profit shall be $1/9^{\text{th}}$ of Rs.37,52,320 = Rs.4,16,924. Thus, selling price shall be Rs. 41,69,244.

ANSWER-A

(5 MARKS)

Level of activity method: Under this method, the variable overhead may be determined by comparing two levels of output with the amount of expenses at those levels. Since the fixed element does not change, the variable element may be ascertained with the help of the following formula.

Change in the amount of expense/ change in the quantity of output

Suppose the following information is available:

	Production Units	Semi-variable expenses (Rs.)
January	100	260
February	140	300
Difference	40	40

The variable cost :

Change in semi- variable expense/ change in production volume

=Rs. 40/ 40 units = Rs. 1/unit

Thus, in January, the variable cost will be $100 \times \text{Re. 1} = \text{Rs. 100}$ and the fixed cost element will be (Rs. 260 – Rs. 100) or Rs. 160. In February, the variable cost will be $140 \times \text{Re. 1} = \text{Rs. 140}$ whereas the fixed cost element will remain the same, i.e., Rs. 160.

ANSWER-B

(5 MARKS)

The difference between the allocation and apportionment is important to understand because the purpose of these two methods is the identification of the items of cost to cost un its or centers. However, the main difference between the above methods is given below.

(1) Allocation deals with the whole items of cost, which are identifiable with any one department. For example, indirect wages of three departments are separately obtained and hence each department will be charged by the respective amount of wages individually.

On the other hand, apportionment deals with the proportions of an item of cost for example; the cost of the benefit of a service department will be divided between those departments which has availed those benefits.

- (2) Allocation is a direct process of charging expenses to different cost centres whereas apportionment is an indirect process because there is a need for the identification of the appropriate portion of an expense to be borne by the different departments benefited.
- (3) The allocation or apportionment of an expense is not dependent on its nature, but the relationship between the expense and the cost centre decides that whether it is to be

allocated or apportioned.

(4) Allocation is a much wider term than apportionment.

ANSWER-C

(5 MARKS)

Difference between cost control and cost reduction are tabulated as below:

Cost Control	Cost Reduction
1. Cost control aims at maintaining the costs in accordance with the established standards.	 Cost reduction is concerned with reducing costs. It challenges all standards and endeavours to better them continuously
2. Cost control seeks to attain lowest possible cost under existing conditions.	2. Cost reductionrecognises no conditionas permanent, since a change will result in lower cost.
3. In case of Cost Control, emphasis is on past and present	3. In case of cost reduction it is on present and future.
4. Cost Control is a preventive function	4. Cost reduction is a corrective function. It operates even when an efficient cost control system exists.
5. Cost control ends when targets are achieved	5. Cost reduction has no visible end.

ANSWER-D

(5 MARKS)

Like other branches of accounting, cost and management accounting is also having certain limitations. The limitations of cost and management accounting are as follows:

- **1. Expensive:** It is expensive because analysis, allocation and absorption of overheads require considerable amount of additional work, and hence additional money.
- 2. **Requirement of Reconciliation:** The results shown by cost accounts differ from those shown by financial accounts. Thus Preparation of reconciliation statements is necessary to verify their accuracy.
- **3. Duplication of Work:** It involves duplication of work as organization has to maintain two sets of accounts i.e. Financial Account and Cost Account.
- 4. Inefficiency: Costing system itself does not control costs but its usage does.